III. REMARKS

Claims 1-12 are pending in this application. By this amendment, claim 11 has been amended. This amendment is being made to facilitate early allowance of the presently claimed subject matter. Applicants do not acquiesce in the correctness of the rejections and reserve the right to present specific arguments regarding any rejected claims not specifically addressed. Further, Applicants reserve the right to pursue the full scope of the subject matter of the original claims in a subsequent patent application that claims priority to the instant application. Reconsideration in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as allegedly being directed to a non-statutory subject matter. By this Amendment, claim 11 has been amended to incorporate the examiner's suggestion. Applicants submit that claim 11 has also been revised to correct a typographical error and no new matter has been added. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection.

In the Office Action, claims 1-2 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by Kikinis (U.S. Patent No. 5,794,259), hereafter "Kikinis"; claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Kikinis; and claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Kikinis in view of Bhatia et al. (US Publication No. 2002/0154162), hereafter "Bhatia." Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections for the reasons stated below.

With respect to independent claim 1, Applicants submit that Kikinis does not disclose each and every claimed feature. For example, Kikinis does not disclose, *inter alia*, "upon determination (51) that said requested information data is already or not stored in a buffer memory allocated to

10/016,982

the program component in the network client, obtaining (57) the requested data by retrieving them from the buffer memory, or downloading (53, 55, 57) them from the network server[.]" (Claim 1). Kikinis discloses "[a] system for filling fields in Internet forms [following] executable control code to associate stored fill entities with field names, and to place the stored fill entities into fields in the Internet form." (Abstract). However, Kikinis does not disclose, inter alia, "obtaining the requested information data[.]" (Claim 1 of the claimed invention). In Kikinis, the control code is used only to "[match] field names in the form with tags to the prestored information about the user, and [to fill] all of the fields for which a match is made." (Col. 3, lines 66-col. 4, line 1). Kikinis does not use the control code to obtain the requested information. In sharp contrast, in the claimed invention, the program component "[obtains] the requested information data[.]" (Claim 1). In particular, the program component of the claimed invention determines whether "said requested information data is already or not stored in a buffer memory allocated to the program component" and "[obtains] ... the requested data by retrieving them from the buffer memory, or downloading ... them from the network sever[.]" (Claim 1).

In addition, Kikinis does not include, *Inter alta*, "a buffer memory allocated to the program component in the network client[,]" as the claimed invention does. (Claim 1 of the claimed invention). Nowhere does Kikinis disclose a buffer memory allocated to the control code, assuming, *arguendo*, that the control code is a program component. As a consequence, Kikinis also does not disclose, *inter alia*, that a program component determines whether a requested information data is already or not stored in a buffer memory.

Moreover, Kikinis does not include, inter alia, "calling ... the program component for obtaining the requested information data[,]" as the claimed invention does. (Claim 1). Kikinis

10/016,982

discloses a user selects an entity for download in an alternative embodiment (see col. 4, lines 43-46), but the control code is not used in this alternative embodiment for download. Rather, the control code of Kikinis relates only to the automatic form filling, not to the information download. That is, Kikinis does not call the control code for obtaining the requested information data, assuming, arguendo, that the control code is a program component.

In view of the foregoing, Kikinis does not anticipate the current invention. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejections.

The dependent claims are believed allowable for the same reasons stated above, as well as for their own additional features.

IV. CONCLUSION

In light of the above, Applicants respectfully submits that all claims are in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner require anything further to place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 9/16/05

Konald A. D'Alessandro Reg. No.: 42,456

Hoffman, Warnick & D'Alessandro LLC 75 State Street, 14th Floor Albany, New York 12207 (518) 449-0044 (518) 449-0047 (fax)